UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/743,328 | 12/23/2003 | Hideyoshi Okita | 2888-101 | 5586 |
| 6449 7590 02/27/2007 ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. | | | EXAMINER | |
| 1425 K STREE | • | STULII, VERA | | |
| SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1761 | |
| | | | <u>-</u> | · |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MONTHS 02/27/2007 | | 02/27/2007 | ELECT | RONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 02/27/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 November 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 30-63 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cacepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-892) 4) Paper Not(s)/Mall Dale. 4) Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice | | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Examiner Art Unit 1761 | Office Action Summary | | 10/743.328 | OKITA, HIDEYOSHI | | | |
| Vera Stufil | | | | | | | |
| — The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eatherloss of time may be available under the provision of 37 CRT 1:340, in no event, however, may a reply be lamely field in the communication of 37 CRT 1:340, in no event, however, may a reply be lamely field in the communication of 37 CRT 1:340, in no event, however, may a reply be lamely field. Fallute for reply in seculided above, the maximum statutory principle will apply so the will expire SIX (5) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Fallute for reply within the set or exceeded pared for reply will, by statutes, case the spatiation to common ANNADNED (5) U.S. ©, 1333, Any reply received by the Clitical sear than three more than shared the mail administration of the communication of the maximum statutory principle and of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any counter plant that a secondary property only received by the Clitical sear than three more more than the mail administration. **Status** 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 20 November 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) □ Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 30-63 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 □ Claim(s) 1-63 is/are allowed. 6 □ Claim(s) 1-63 is/are allowed. 6 □ Claim(s) 1-63 is/are allowed. 7 □ Claim(s) 1-63 is/are allowed. 8 □ Claim(s) 1-63 is/are allowed. 8 □ Claim(s) 1-63 is/are allowed. 9 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 □ The drawing(s) filed on 1-15 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ obj | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAYE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions for many by available which the provision of 30° Rf 1.1300, in no event, hower, may a reply be limity field If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stalutory petied will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the malting date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply is specified above, the maximum stalutory petied will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the malting date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum stalutory petied will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the malting date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce along supply reduced by the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce along supply reduced by the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce along supply reduced by the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce along supply reduced by the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce along supply reduced by the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce along supply reduced by the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce along supply reduced by the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce along supply reduced by the supply filled on the reduced by the supply filled by th | | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | |
| WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edenciano of time may be available under the provisions of 3°CRT 138(b). In no event, however, may a neity be timely filled after 50X (b) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failus to received by the control of the communication of the com | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 November 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 30-63 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cacepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-892) 4) Paper Not(s)/Mall Dale. 4) Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice | WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Domisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing | ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minus to cause the application to become | IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 30-63 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) 1-29 is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 1-29 is/are objected to. 9 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | Status | | | | | | |
| 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 30-63 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Aktachment(s) 1 International Discourse Statement(s) (PTO-880) 3 Internation Discourse Statement(s) (PTO-880) 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application | 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 N | ovember 2006. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 30-63 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 29 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 29 is/are rejected. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-893) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 2a) <u></u> ☐ | ,_ | | | | | |
| All b Schrödig of Claims A) Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 30-63 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application | 3)[| | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 30-63 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application | | closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C | .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 30-63 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of Patersperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Notice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application | Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of Patersperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | 4)🖂 | Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | 5) | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | . — | | | | | | |
| Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | / | | u alastian ranvironant | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 8)∟ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | Applicat | ion Papers | | • | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | ėr. | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | epted or b) objected t | o by the Examiner. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | · | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application | Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 、 | | | | | |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | | |
| 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 ○ Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Solution of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | • • | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | • | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/02/05, 06/22/04</u> . 6) | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-13 and 17-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Narumiya et al (US 6,217,928).

In regard to claims 1-7, Narumiya et al disclose "a process of freezing a group of sushi ... comprising: placing the group of sushi on a vessel, and disposing the vessel in a freezer and freezing the group of sushi, the freezing comprising: a first freezing step in which the group of sushi placed on said vessel is disposed in the freezer, and the temperature of a rice ball part of the sushi is reduced from an initial temperature to a freezing point in a range of 0°C to -4°C.; a second freezing step in which the temperature is reduced to a temperature in the range from the freezing point to -10°C and maintained at this temperature for a predetermined period of time until passing of a maximum ice generation temperature range" (Claim 1). Narumiya et al disclose that "second freezing step being carried out for a time which is set to be longer than the first freezing step" (Claim 1). Narumiya et al disclose that "the time of said second freezing step is set to about 13 to 35 min" (Claim 4). In regard to claim 8, Narumiya et al disclose the gradient of the second freezing step of 0.5 °C /min (Claim 6). In regard to claims 9,

Art Unit: 1761

10 and 11, Narumiya et al disclose air-purged packaging step after freezing (Fig. 7, Fig. 6). In regard to claim 12, Narumiya et al disclose "the sushi is disposed in vessels in the freezer with a plurality of sushi pieces held in rows in each vessel, and the freezer then is preliminarily cooled down to about 0 to -15°C and freezing is started, the freezing comprising a first temperature reduction step in which the temperature of the freezer is reduced from the preliminary cooling temperature to about -30°C in about 5 to 25 minutes from the start of freezing of the sushi, and a subsequent second temperature reduction step to a temperature lower than -30°C" (Claim 10). Narumiya et al disclose that "the sushi is disposed in the freezer in an enclosed state" (Claim 23). Narumiya et al disclose that frozen sushi were removed from the freezer and packed at temperature of 25°C. In regard to claim 13, Narumiya et al disclose that "it is suitable to freeze the food in what is commonly called a slight air supply space with air supplied at a minimum rate into the freezer" (Col. 8 lines 12-15). In regard to claims 17-29, it is noted that "first predetermined temperature" is a room temperature before freezing which is approximately 20-25°C and is in the range recited. The "second predetermined temperature" corresponds to the "first freezing step" and the temperature in a range of 0°C to -4°C (see above). The "third predetermined temperature" corresponds to the "second freezing step" and temperature of -10°C (see above). In regard to claims 18

and 19, Narumiya et al discloses "that a second freezing step in which the temperature

maintained at this temperature for a predetermined period of time until passing of a

is reduced to a temperature in the range from the freezing point to -10°C and

maximum ice generation temperature range (Claim 1).

Art Unit: 1761

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Narumiya et al (US 6,217,928) in view of Grewar (US 4,325,221).

Narumiya et al is taken as cited above.

Narumiya et al do not disclose directing supply of liquid carbon dioxide into the freezer.

Grewar discloses a method for reducing the temperature of food articles. Grewar discloses a method which prevents or at least greatly reduces moisture loss from food articles to be refrigerated" (Col.2 lines 30-33). Grewar discloses "a method of refrigerating a moist article comprising the steps of quick chilling a thin outer layer of the article to seal the moisture therein by contacting it with a cryogenic liquid and

Art Unit: 1761

subsequently cooling the article" (Col. 2 lines 40-44). Grewar discloses liquid carbon dioxide as a "cryogenic liquid" (Col. 2 lines 63-64).

Since Narumiya et al disclose method of freezing moist food articles, and Grewar discloses a method which prevents or at least greatly reduces moisture loss from food articles to be refrigerated using liquid carbon dioxide, it would have been obvious to modify disclosure of Narumiya et al and employ a refrigerating method using liquid carbon dioxide in order to reduce loss of moisture as disclosed by Grewar.

Claims 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Narumiya et al (US 6,217,928) in view of Lamb (4,399,667).

Narumiya et al is taken as cited above.

Narumia et al do not disclose controlling an incident angle between dry ice in freezer and a circulation of air within the freezer.

Lamb discloses apparatus for chilling a plurality of food trays. Lamb discloses "chilling system for a food service cart which supports pieces of dry ice in a bunker for maximum heat transfer relative to a stream of circulating air moving through the cart" (Col. 1 lines 61-64). Lamb discloses that "the construction of the chiller bunker 42, with its channels 66, greatly enhances the efficiency of heat transfer since the downwardly moving cold CO2 gas can be readily picked up by the circulating air stream from the fan 24" (Col. 4 lines 25-27). Lamb discloses that the fan 24, by being positioned at an angle helps direct air into the channels 66 and under the dry ice (Col.4 lines 28-30).

Since Narumia et al teaches method of freezing food articles and Lamb discloses apparatus for chilling and enhancing the efficiency of heat transfer, it would have been

Art Unit: 1761

obvious to modify disclosure of Narumia et al and to control an incident angle between dry ice in freezer and a circulation of air within the freezer to enhance the efficiency of heat transfer as taught by Lamb et al.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vera Stulii whose telephone number is (571) 272-3221. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am-3:30 pm, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

VS

KEITH HENDRICKS
PRIMARY EXAMINER